Field Days Feature Local Energy

The new PFI Bioenergy and Diversity project hosted three field days in late summer 2008. The focus of this article is a biodiesel field day that was hosted on August 30 by Plainfield farmers Gary Laydon and Pat Mennenga and their neighbor Berl Biekert.

Kim Odden, an instructor at Wisconsin Indianhead Technical College, demonstrated his mobile oilseed press and presented budgets for producing oil from different seeds and turning it into biodiesel. These budgets help illustrate whether biodiesel is a viable option for farmers and which crops demonstrate the most potential. Pressing oil is the next stage for on-farm biodiesel, since used fry oil is now in short supply in many communities.

Oilseed crops differ greatly in the amount of oil produced per acre (see Table 1). An oilseed sunflower crop, for example, contains twice as much oil per acre as soybeans. On the other hand, the sunflower meal byproduct is too high

Table 1. Oil Production	n per Acre by	Crop.†						
Crop	lbs oil/acre	US gal/acre						
corn (maize)	129	18						
oats	163	23						
cotton	244	35						
soybean	335	48						
linseed (flax)	359	51						
hazelnuts	362	51						
pumpkin seed	401	57						
safflower	585	83						
sunflowers	714	102						
peanuts	795	113						
canola	893	127						
olives	910	129						
jojoba	1,365	194						
coconut	2,018	287						
oil palm	4,465	635						
† Extracted from a table	e published by	Azure						
Biodiesel Co., Sully, Iowa 50251.								

in fiber to make good swine feed. But soybean and cottonseed oils make biodiesels with high gel points. Gel point is the temperature fuel stops behaving as a liquid and turns into a gel in your tank.

Odden's approach values a crop's oil and its meal at Chicago Board of Trade prices (Table 2). He includes the market price of the oil as an opportunity cost in the budget for on-farm oil production (Table 3). This is a conservative approach; a farmer might prefer to substitute his/her own cost of production, but some value should be placed on oilseeds that instead of being sold are pressed on the farm. Odden's approach does put all crops on a standard basis. The other assumption Odden's calculations make is that a farmer pressing oilseeds will find a buyer or an on-farm use for the meal at market rates.



Gary Laydon watches as Kim Odden feeds the dualexpeller press, capable of 50 gallons in 24 hours.

Table 2. Aug. '08 market prices and yields of crops and their oil and meal components.

Crop		Meal		Oil	
Sunflower	\$.26/lb, \$520/T	1,280 lb @ \$.15/lb	\$192	720 lb @ \$.455/lb	\$328
Soybean	\$.215/lb, \$430/T	1,740 lb @ \$.18/lb	\$313	260 lb @ \$.45/lb	\$117

When producing biodiesel from the extracted seed oil, methanol and lye (sodium hydroxide) or potassium hydroxide is added to separate the fuel from the glycerin. The biodiesel is sometimes "washed" to clean out first the methanol, and then the remaining water. Table 4 is Kim Odden's budget for fuel production.

The tables show a difference between the economics for canola oil and that for soybean and sunflower. When the workshop took place, canola oil was trading at a much lower price than the other two oils. In 2008, the corn ethanol market pushed up prices for corn and soybean oils, but canola was less affected. By December 2008, the overall economy had softened corn and soy prices, reversing the relative advantage of canola as a biodiesel feedstock (Table

Table 3. Aug. '08 cost per lb and gallon of oil extraction from seed. Oil @ Table 2 price/lb, e.g., soybean @ \$.45 \$.45 Extraction Labor/lb oil \$.02 Electricity/lb oil \$.01 Depreciation/lb oil \$.02 Repairs/lb oil \$.02 Total Cost, soybean \$.52/lb, \$4.06/gal Total Cost, canola \$.39/lb, \$3.04/gal Total Cost, sunflower \$.525/lb, \$4.10/gal

Table 4. Cost per gallon of biodiesel fuel production fromextracted oil, August and December 2008.

	August '08	December '08
Oil, e.g., soybean	\$4.06	\$3.12
Labor	\$.10	\$.10
Electricity	\$.05	\$.05
Lye †	\$.02	\$.02
Methanol †	\$.56	\$.56
Total Cost, soybean	\$4.79	\$3.85
Total Cost, canola	\$3.77	\$4.75
Total Cost, sunflower	\$4.83	\$3.89
Farm-delivered Diesel	\$4.10	\$1.80
† Northeast Iowa prices		

4). Petroleum prices plunged as well, temporarily erasing any cost advantage of biodiesel derived from oilseeds.

In 2008, first market effects of corn ethanol and then the overall economy made biodiesel less advantageous. However, Kim Odden notes that in 2007 the Red River Valley of Minnesota was without diesel fuel for five days during harvest season. If you are concerned about buffering your farm from supply shocks and price spikes, biodiesel may be something to move on now rather than later.

Kim Odden is happy to respond to questions about biodiesel. He can be reached at (715) 764-5557, kodden@chibardun.net.

Supplemental Information

Table 5. Typical setup costs to pressoil and produce biodiesel fuel.								
2-screw press	\$16,500							
3-phase converter	\$1,200							
electrical work	\$500							
2 augers	\$1,000							
press stand	\$300							
overhead bin	\$25							
3 settling tanks	\$500							
fittings, tubes	\$25							
Extraction Total	\$20,050							
Fuel-making equipment								
Fuelmeister [™] processor	\$3,000							
This configuration could p	ress and							
process 10,000 to 30,000 g	gallons/year.							

Tables 6-8 (on following pages). Feed Analysis of Canola, Soybean, and Sunflower Meal.

UW Soil & Forage Analysis Laboratory 8396 Yellowstone Drive Marshfield, WI 54449 Phone 715-387-2523 Fax 715-387-1723

Kim Odden P.O. Box 232

Cameron, WI 54822

Account: 557634 Date received: 3/10/2006 Date processed: 3/16/2006

Client: Kim Odden

COOPERATIVE EXTENSION

University of Wisconsin-Extension University of Wisconsin-Madison

Soils Department, Madison, WI

Results also available on-line at http://uwlab.soils.wisc.edu/reports lab number: 5636 access code: trd8

Grain/Commodity Report

Report Number: 5636 Lab Number: 1354 Sample Description: Pellets Material: Other Forage

ltem	Abbre	bbreviation Unit		Resul	t Metho	Method	
Dry Matter				% as fed	92.60		wc
Moisture				% as fed	7.40		С
Protein Fractions							
Crude Protein		СР		% of DM	36.90		wc
Fiber Fractions							
Acid Detergent Fiber		ADF		% of DM			NA
Neutral Detergent Fiber		aNDF		% of DM	16.49		WC
Lignin (Acid Detergent)		ADL		% of DM			NA
Carbohydrates and Fats							
Non Fiber Carbohydrate		NFC		% of DM	23.92		С
Fat				% of DM	14.09		wc
Energy Calculations: 2001 NRC							
Total Digestible Nutrients, 1X		TDN		% of DM	87.98		С
Net Energy, Lactation, 3X		Nel		Mcals/lb	0.92		С
Net Energy, Maintenance		NEm		Mcals/lb	1.09		С
Net Energy, Gain		NEg		Mcals/lb	0.77		С
Metabolizable Energy		ME		Mcals/Ib	1.57		С
Macro Minerals			Micro Minerals				
Phosphorus P 1.02	% of DM	wc	Iron	Fe		ppm	NR
Calcium Ca 0.60	% of DM		Manganese			ppm	NB
Potassium K 1.37	% of DM		Zinc	Zn		ppm	NR
Magnesium Mg 0.24	% of DM		Copper	Cu		ppm	NR
Sodium Na	% of DM	NB	coppe.			F P	
Chloride Cl	% of DM	NB	Ash		8.60	% of DM	wc
Sulfur S	% of DM	NR					
WC = wet chemistry NIR = near infrared spectroscopy	NR = not reques NA = not availab		C = calcu	lated ar value			

UW Soil & Forage Analysis Laboratory 8396 Yellowstone Drive

Marshfield, WI 54449 Phone 715-387-2523 Fax 715-387-1723

Kim Odden PO Box 232 Cameron, WI 54822

Account: 557634 Date received: 2/27/2007 Date processed: 3/9/2007

Results also available on-line at http://uwlab.soils.wisc.edu/reports lab number: 403 access code: ccgr

Grain/Commodity Report

Report Number: 403 Lab Number: 1296 Material: Other Feed duo

Sample Description: Extruded Soybeans

CLIENT COPY						

Soybean Meal Feed Analysis

ltem				Abbre	viation	Unit	Result	t	Metho
Dry Matter Moisture				DM		% as fed % as fed	93.90 6.10		wo
Protein Fractions									
Crude Proteir	ı			СР		% of DM	39.08		wo
Fiber Fractions									
Acid Deterger	nt Fiber			ADF		% of DM			NA
Neutral Deter		r		aNDF		% of DM	8.60		wo
Lignin (Acid I				ADL		% of DM			N/
Carbohydrates and	Fats								
Non Fiber Ca	rbohydrai	te		NFC		% of DM	31.20		C
Fat	-					% of DM	14.84		wo
Energy Calculation	ns: 2001 I	NRC		_					
Total Digestik	le Nutrie	nts, 1X		TDN		% of DM	94.64		C
Net Energy, L	actation,	3X		Nel		Mcals/lb	1.00		C
Net Energy, N	laintenan	ice		NEm		Mcals/lb	1.20		c
Net Energy, C				NEg		Mcals/lb	0.85		c
Metabolizable	Energy			ME		Mcals/Ib	1.71		
Macro Minerals					Micro Minerals				
Phosphorus	Р	0.61	% of DM	wc	Iron	Fe		ppm	NR
Calcium	Ca	0.21	% of DM	WC	Manganese	Mn		ppm	NR
Potassium	к	1.47	% of DM	WC	Zinc	Zn		ppm	NR
Magnesium	Mg	0.20	% of DM		Copper	Cu		ppm	NR
Sodium	Na		% of DM	NR					
Chloride	CI		% of DM	NR	Ash		6.28	% of DM	WC
Sulfur	S		% of DM	NR					
WC = wet chemistry			NR = not reques	ted	C = calcul T = tabula	ated			

CLIENT COPY

Canola Meal Feed Analysis

COOPERATIVE EXTENSION University of Wisconsin-Extension University of Wisconsin-Madison Soils Department, Madison, WI

Account: 555901 Date received: 9/1/2006 Date processed: 9/8/2006

Results also available on-line at http://uwlab.soils.wisc.edu/reports lab number: 8446 access code: 943f

Grain/Commodity Report

Report Number: 8446 Lab Number: 7346 Material: Other Feed/Byproduct Sample Description: Sunflower Meal

tem	Abbreviation	Unit	Result	Method ¹	
Dry Matter	DM	% as fed	93.07	wc	
Moisture		% as fed	6.93	C	
Protein Fractions					
Crude Protein	СР	% of DM	23.64	WC	
Fiber Fractions					
Acid Detergent Fiber	ADF	% of DM		NA	
Neutral Detergent Fiber	aNDF	% of DM	30.57	WC	
Lignin (Acid Detergent)	ADL	% of DM		NA	
Carbohydrates and Fats					
Non Fiber Carbohydrate	NFC	% of DM	20.51	С	
Fat		% of DM	19.04	WC	
Energy Calculations: 2001 NRC					
Total Digestible Nutrients, 1X	TDN	% of DM	90.51	С	
Net Energy, Lactation, 3X	Nel	Mcals/lb	0.95	С	
Net Energy, Maintenance	NEm	Mcals/lb	1.13	C C	
Net Energy, Gain	NEg	Mcals/lb	0.80	С	
Metabolizable Energy	ME	Mcals/lb	1.62	С	

Macro Minerals					Micro Minerals				
Phosphorus	Ρ	0.79	% of DM	WC	Iron	Fe		ppm	NR
Calcium	Ca	0.43	% of DM	WC	Manganese	Mn		ppm	NR
Potassium	κ	1.59	% of DM	WC	Zinc	Zn		ppm	NR
Magnesium	Mg	0.52	% of DM	WC	Copper	Cu		ppm	NR
Sodium	Na		% of DM	NR					
Chloride	CI		% of DM	NR	Ash		6.24	% of DM	WC
Sulfur	S		% of DM	NR					

¹ WC = wet chemistry NIR = near infrared spectroscopy NR = not requested NA = not available C = calculated T = tabular value Sunflower Meal Feed Analysis