# PRACTICAL FARMERS OF IOWA COOPERATORS' PROGRAM Farmer-Led Research

# Evaluating Supplemental Sulfur to Improve Corn Yield

### In a Nutshell:

- After soil tests revealed a farm-wide decline in soil sulfur at Jack Boyer's farm in recent years, he was curious to test whether or not corn in one of his fields might benefit from sulfur fertilizer.
- Boyer hypothesized the addition of sulfur fertilizer would improve corn yields and profitability.

#### **Key Findings:**

- Corn yield as well as the return on investment was greater in the sulfur strips.
- Boyer was surprised the differences in yield and ROI were not more pronounced and would like to repeat the trial a second year.



# 2020

Staff Contact Hayley Nelson - (515) 232-5661 hayley@practicalfarmers.org

## Cooperators

Jack Boyer – Reinbeck

## **Funding** Walton Family Foundation

#### BACKGROUND

Sulfur deficiencies have been documented since about 2005 in corn in Iowa.<sup>[1,2]</sup> It is thought that deficiencies arose at that time as a result of decreasing air pollution which reduced deposition of atmospheric sulfur. Symptoms of sulfur deficiency include yellowing of new leaves in the whorl with lower leaves maintaining a uniform green coloration.<sup>[3]</sup> However, identifying sulfur deficiency is tricky because symptoms resemble nitrogen deficiency, and neither soil nor plant tissue tests for sulfur deficiencies have been calibrated for Iowa crops.<sup>[1,3]</sup> On-farm strip trials are a reliable and straight-forward way to determine if corn in a given field would benefit from sulfur fertilization.

#### **METHODS**

#### Design

To determine the benefit of sulfur fertilizer to his corn yield and profitability, Jack Boyer compared two treatments:

1. Sulfur (20 lb/ac);

2. No sulfur (control)

After soybean harvest in 2019, Boyer planted a cereal rye cover crop on Oct. 29. On Nov. 5, Boyer broadcast 101 lb/ac of potash (0-0-60) and 29.7 lb/ac of 12-40-0-10S-1Zn, resulting in a total addition of 3.5 lb N/ac, 12 lb P/ac, 60 lb K/ac, 3 lb S/ac and 0.3 lb Zn/ac.

Boyer planted corn on April 26, 2020, 20 days after knifing in anhydrous ammonia at a rate of 150 lb N/ac (April 6). Corn was planted in 30-in. rows at a population of 34,000 seeds/ac. On May

4, one week after planting corn, Boyer terminated the cereal rye cover crop and applied 30 lb N/ac as UAN(28) with a tank mix of Roundup Powermax (44 oz/ac) and HarnessXtra (48 oz/ac).

On the same date of terminating cereal rye, Boyer established treatment strips by broadcasting sulfur at a rate of 20 lb S/ac (12-0-0-26S, 77 lb/ac) in only the sulfur strips. This product added an extra 9 lb N/ac to the sulfur strips compared to the control strips. Additionally, this application was added to the termination tank mix and did not require an extra pass. Boyer randomly arranged treatment strips side-by-side in a randomized complete block design (**Figure A1**). Strips measured 30 ft by 2,450 ft and treatments were replicated 4 times for a total of 8 strips.

#### Measurements

Boyer harvested corn and recorded yields from individual strips on Oct. 28, 2020. Yields are reported at standard moisture (15.5%).

#### Data analysis

To evaluate the effect of sulfur fertilizer on corn yield, we calculated the average yield for both treatments then used a t-test to compute the least significant difference (LSD) at the 95% confidence level. The difference between average yields is compared with the LSD to determine if the treatment yield is statistically different from the control yield. A difference greater than or equal to the LSD indicates the difference in yields is statistically significant, meaning Boyer can expect the same results to occur 95 out of 100 times under the same conditions. A difference that is less than the LSD indicates the difference in yields is not statistically significant.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Corn yields

Averaging 230 bu/ac, corn yield in the sulfur treatment was statistically greater than in the control treatment (223 bu/ac). Yields in both treatments exceed the 5-year average for Grundy County (210 bu/ac)<sup>[4]</sup>.



**FIGURE 1.** Corn yields at Jack Boyer's, harvested on Oct. 28, 2020. Columns represent yields of individual strips. The average yield is indicated above each group of columns. Because the difference between the two averages (7 bu/ac) is greater than the least significant difference (LSD = 5 bu/ac), the treatment yields are considered statistically different at the 95% confidence level.

#### Economic considerations

The return on investment for the sulfur treatment was only \$3.04 greater than for the control treatment (**Table 1**). The cost of the sulfur fertilizer application was not included in the partial budget because it was tank mixed with the cereal rye termination herbicide, which occurred in both treatments.

SULFUR		CONTROL	
COSTS <sup>a</sup>	\$/AC	COSTS	\$/AC
Fertilizer: 12-0-0-26S (77 lb/ac)	23.21	None	0.00
RETURNS <sup>a</sup>	\$/AC	<b>RETURNS</b> a	\$/AC
230 bu/ac @ \$3.75/bu	862.50	223 bu/ac @ \$3.75/bu	836.25
ROI: RETURNS – COSTS	\$/AC	<b>ROI: RETURNS – COSTS</b>	\$/AC
\$862.50 - \$23.21	839.29	\$836.25 - \$0.00	836.25

<sup>a</sup> Fertilizer costs and corn prices were provided by Boyer. The cost of fertilizer application was excluded because the fertilizer was applied with the cereal rye termination pass, which occurred in both treatments.

#### **CONCLUSIONS AND NEXT STEPS**

Boyer was surprised by the results of this trial. His soil tests revealed extremely low sulfur levels – 1 ppm – which led him to anticipate a more substantial yield gain from the sulfur fertilizer. Furthermore, Boyer commented, "Where I applied sulfur I observed the plants were much greener, but that didn't show up as much as I expected in the yield results." When asked why he thinks there wasn't a greater yield gain, Boyer responded, "There are so many different variables that play into it. Twenty pounds may not have been enough to make a difference. The drought is likely part of it (**Figure A2**), but there were yields in there that weren't terrible." Boyer feels the increases to yield and ROI were too small to get excited about, but he is considering conducting the trial a second year to see if results change under different conditions.

**APPENDIX – TRIAL DESIGN AND WEATHER CONDITIONS** 



**FIGURE A1.** Jack Boyer's experimental design consists of four replications of both treatments. This design allows for statistical analysis of the data.



**FIGURE A2.** Mean monthly temperature and rainfall during the trial period and the long-term averages at Grundy County, the nearest weather station to Boyer's farm (about 9 miles away).<sup>[5]</sup>

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Sawyer, J.E. 2020. Crop Sulfur Fertilization This Spring. Integrated Crop Management. Iowa State University Extension and Outreach. https://crops.extension. iastate.edu/cropnews/2020/03/crop-sulfur-fertilization-spring (accessed September 2020).
- 2. Sawyer, J.E., B. Lang and D. Barker. 2015. Sulfur Management for Iowa Crop Production. 3072. Iowa State University Extension and Outreach. https://store. extension.iastate.edu/product/Sulfur-Management-for-Iowa-Crop-Production (accessed September 2020).
- 3. Sawyer, J.E. 2020. Is It Nitrogen or Sulfur Deficiency Symptoms. Integrated Crop Management. Iowa State University Extension and Outreach. https://crops. extension.iastate.edu/blog/john-sawyer/it-nitrogen-or-sulfur-deficiency-symptoms (accessed September 2020).
- Johanns, A. 2020. Historical Corn Yields by County in Iowa. A1-12. Ag Decision Maker. Iowa State University Extension and Outreach. https://www.extension. iastate.edu/AgDM/crops/pdf/a1-12.pdf (accessed January 2021).
- Iowa Environmental Mesonet. 2020. IEM "Climodat" Reports. Iowa State University Department of Agronomy. http://mesonet.agron.iastate.edu/climodat/ (accessed December 2020).



#### **PFI COOPERATORS' PROGRAM**

PFI's Cooperators' Program helps farmers find practical answers and make informed decisions through on-farm research projects. The Cooperators' Program began in 1987 with farmers looking to save money through more judicious use of inputs. If you are interested in conducting an on-farm trial contact Stefan Gailans @ 515-232-5661 or stefan@practicalfarmers.org.