Are Fungicide and Insecticide Seed Treatments Necessary for Corn?

In a Nutshell:

• In recent years, Dick Sloan has been evaluating his use of insecticide (neonicotinoid) seed treatments for soybeans in on-farm trials and has found no improvement in yield or financial returns compared with untreated soybean seeds.

• Sloan now focused his attention on corn seed treatments and compared seeds treated with fungicide only; fungicide and insecticide; and a humic acid product which did not include fungicide or insecticide.

Key Findings:

• Seed treatment had no effect on corn yield; average yield across all treatments was 214 bu/ac.

• The Fungicide-only treatment, the least expensive among the three trialed, provided top net returns over the other two treatments by nearly $4/ac.

METHODS

Design

On May 9, 2022, Sloan planted corn in 30-in. row-widths at a population of 32,000 seeds per acre into a field previously in soybeans and seeded to a cover crop of cereal rye, winter wheat and winter barley in fall 2021. He compared three seed treatment packages:

1. Fungicide-only:
   • Fungicide = Vibrance Cinco (Azoxystrobin, Mefenoxam, Fludioxonil, Sedaxane, Thiabendazole);
   • SabrEx root inoculant (Trichoderma microbial);
   • Excellorate (biological stimulant).

2. Fungicide+Insecticide:
   • Fungicide = Vibrance Cinco (Azoxystrobin, Mefenoxam, Fludioxonil, Sedaxane, Thiabendazole);
   • Insecticide = Cruiser 5FS (Thiamethoxam);
   • SabrEx root inoculant (Trichoderma microbial);
   • Excellorate (biological stimulant).

3. Humic acid (no fungicide, no insecticide):
   • Humic = Organic 1r (derived from Leonardite);
   • SabrEX root inoculant (Trichoderma microbial).

For each of the three treatments, Sloan planted the same corn hybrid– Viking 48-08. Sloan implemented five replications of the three treatments (Figure A1) in strips measuring 30 ft wide by 1,000 ft long. Field management is presented in Table 1.

Measurements

Sloan harvested corn from the middle 15 ft (6 rows) of each strip and recorded yields from each individual strip on Oct. 13, 2022. Yields were adjusted to 15.5% moisture.
To evaluate the effect of seed treatment on corn yield, we calculated Tukey’s least significant difference (LSD) at the 95% confidence level. If the difference between any two of the treatments was greater than the LSD, we would expect such a difference to occur 95 times out of 100 under the same conditions – we refer to this as a statistically significant effect. On the other hand, if the resulting difference between any two treatments was less than the LSD, we would consider the results to be statistically similar. We could make these statistical calculations because Sloan’s experimental design involved replication of the treatments (Figure A1).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Corn yield was not affected by seed treatment (Figure 1). In other words, the Humic acid treatment, which did not contain a fungicide or insecticide, produced yields equal to seeds treated with fungicide and insecticide or fungicide only. Across all treatments, the average yield was 214 bu/ac which is just above the five-year average for Buchanan County (209 bu/ac) where Sloan farms.[1]
Economic considerations

The Fungicide-only treatment provided top net returns among the three treatments (Table 2). This was because it was the least expensive of the three treatments and all three treatments resulted in statistically similar yields (Figure 1). The costs of the Fungicide+Insecticide and Humic acid treatments ended up being the same; both were more expensive than the Fungicide-only treatment by $3.68/ac.

CONCLUSIONS AND NEXT STEPS

Sloan conducted this trial on corn seed treatments because he questioned the use of preventative insecticide applications for corn planted after soybeans in rotation. “I want to reduce unnecessary insecticides in the soil health environment,” he said at the onset. Sloan’s results showed that forgoing insecticide seed treatment did not reduce yields and improved net returns through reduced costs. Forgoing both the insecticide and fungicides in the Humic acid treatment did not reduce yields either (though, it turned out to be just as costly as the Fungicide+Insecticide treatment). “Now I’m less convinced that we have a routine need for fungicides as well,” Sloan said. “Not getting a response from the fungicides on seed really makes me wonder if they are interfering with the healthy fungi I am trying to develop in my soil. Are we buying pesticides as a form of insurance, to alleviate some perceived risk which may not be all that likely? I don’t want to poison soil health with needless applications of pesticides.”

---

**TABLE 2. Partial budgets of seed treatment packages at Dick Sloan’s in 2022.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fungicide-only</th>
<th>Fungicide+Insecticide</th>
<th>Humic Acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seed cost incl. treatment ($/ac)</strong></td>
<td>$52.26</td>
<td>$55.94</td>
<td>$55.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corn yield (bu/ac)</strong></td>
<td>214</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corn price ($/bu)</strong></td>
<td>$6.75</td>
<td>$6.75</td>
<td>$6.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross returns ($/ac)</strong></td>
<td>$1,444.50</td>
<td>$1,444.50</td>
<td>$1,444.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net returns ($/ac)</strong></td>
<td>$1,392.24</td>
<td>$1,388.56</td>
<td>$1,388.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Seed costs provided by Sloan and calculated from corn planting population of 32,000 seeds/ac.

* Average yield across all treatments was considered because corn yield was statistically similar among all three treatments.

* Corn price was provided by Sloan.
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APPENDIX – TRIAL DESIGN AND WEATHER CONDITIONS

FIGURE A1. Dick Sloan’s experimental design consisted of five replications of the three treatments. This design allowed for statistical analysis of the data.

FIGURE A2. Mean monthly temperature and rainfall during the trial period and the long-term averages at Vinton, the nearest weather station to Sloan’s farm.[2]
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